

A pseudolocality theorem for Ricci flow

Shu-Yu Hsu

Department of Mathematics
National Chung Cheng University
168 University Road, Min-Hsiung
Chia-Yi 621, Taiwan, R.O.C.
e-mail: syhsu@math.ccu.edu.tw

June 11, 2010

Abstract

In this paper we will give a simple proof of a modification of a result on pseudolocality for the Ricci flow by P. Lu [16] without using the pseudolocality theorem 10.1 of Perelman [18].

Key words: pseudolocality, complete Riemannian manifold, Ricci flow, locally bounded Riemannian curvature

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 58J35, 53C44 Secondary 35K55

A time dependent metric $g_{ij}(t)$ on an n -dimensional manifold M is said to evolve by the Ricci flow on $(0, T)$ if it satisfies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{ij} = -2R_{ij} \quad (1)$$

on $(0, T)$ where $R_{ij}(x, t)$ is the Ricci tensor with respect to the metric $g_{ij}(t)$. In 1982 R.S. Hamilton [6] used Ricci flow to prove that any compact 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold with strictly positive Ricci curvature also admits a metric of constant positive curvature. Recently there are many research on Ricci flow by A. Chau, L.F. Tam and C. Yu [1], P. Daskalopoulos, R.S. Hamilton and N. Sesum [4], [6], [8], S.Y. Hsu [9], [10], [11], B. Kleiner and J. Lott [12], J. Morgan and G. Tang [15], L. Ni [17], G. Perelman [18], [19], S. Kuang and Q.S. Zhang [13], [21] etc. Interested readers can read the survey article [7] by R.S. Hamilton and the book Hamilton's Ricci flow [3] by B. Chow, P. Lu, and L. Ni for more results on Ricci flow.

In a recent paper [16] P. Lu proved the following pseudolocality theorem for Ricci flow.

Theorem 1. *For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $\delta > 0$ there exists a constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ with the following property. For any $r_0 > 0$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ suppose $(M, g(t))$ is an n -dimensional complete solution to the Ricci flow on $[0, (\varepsilon r_0)^2]$ with bounded sectional curvature, and assume that there exists $x_0 \in M$ such that*

$$|Rm|(x, 0) \leq r_0^{-2} \quad \forall x \in B_{g(0)}(x_0, r_0) \quad (2)$$

and

$$\text{Vol}_{g(0)}(B_{g(0)}(x_0, r_0)) \geq \delta r_0^n. \quad (3)$$

Then

$$|Rm|(x, t) \leq (\varepsilon r_0)^{-2} \quad \forall x \in B_{g(t)}(x_0, \varepsilon r_0), 0 \leq t \leq (\varepsilon r_0)^2. \quad (4)$$

As observed by P. Lu [16] Theorem 1 is implied by the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $\delta > 0$ there exists a constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ with the following property. For any $r_0 > 0$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ suppose $(M, g(t))$ is an n -dimensional complete solution to the Ricci flow on $[0, (\varepsilon r_0)^2]$ with bounded sectional curvature, and assume that there exists $x_0 \in M$ such that (2) and (3) hold. Then*

$$|Rm|(x, t) \leq (\varepsilon r_0)^{-2} \quad \forall x \in B_{g(t)}(x_0, e^{n-1}\varepsilon r_0), 0 \leq t \leq (\varepsilon r_0)^2. \quad (5)$$

The proof of Theorem 2 in [16] uses the pseudolocality theorem Theorem 10.1 of Perelman [18]. However a careful examination of the proof of Theorem 10.1 of Perelman [18] shows that the proof of Theorem 10.1 of [18] is not correct. The reason is as follows. In the proof of Theorem 10.1 of [18] Perelman constructed a sequence of pointed Ricci flow $(M_k, g_k(t), (x_{0,k}, 0))$, $0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon_k$, with $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and a sequence $\delta_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ that satisfies

$$|Rm_{g_k}|(x, t) \leq \alpha t^{-1} + 2\varepsilon_k^{-2} \quad \forall d_{g_k(t)}(x, x_{0,k}) \leq \varepsilon_k, 0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon_k^2 \quad (6)$$

for some constant $\alpha > 0$ and a sequence (x_k, t_k) with $0 < t_k \leq \varepsilon_k^2$ and $d_{g_k(t_k)}(x_{0,k}, x_k) < \varepsilon_k$ such that

$$|Rm_{g_k}(x_k, t_k)| > \alpha t_k^{-1} + \varepsilon_k^{-2}.$$

Perelman [18] also constructed a sequence $(\bar{x}_k, \bar{t}_k)$ with

$$0 < \bar{t}_k \leq \varepsilon_k^2 \quad \text{and} \quad d_{g_k(\bar{t}_k)}(x_{0,k}, \bar{x}_k) < (2A_k + 1)\varepsilon_k$$

where $A_k = 1/(100n\varepsilon_k)$ such that

$$|Rm_{g_k}(x, t)| \leq 4Q_k \quad \forall d_{g_k(\bar{t}_k)}(x, \bar{x}_k) < \frac{1}{10}Q_k^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \bar{t}_k - \frac{1}{2}\alpha Q_k^{-1} \leq t \leq \bar{t}_k$$

where $Q_k = |Rm_{g_k(t)}(\bar{x}_k, \bar{t}_k)|$. On the third paragraph on P.26 of [18] Perelman claimed that the sequence of metrics $\hat{g}_k(t) = \frac{1}{2\bar{t}_k}g(2\bar{t}_k t)$ converges to some solution of Ricci flow $\hat{g}_\infty(t)$ on $0 \leq t \leq 1/2$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Perelman then concluded that there is

a contradiction to the logarithmic Sobolev inequality on $\mathbb{R}^n$ by passing to the limit a rescaled version of the equation on P.26 of [18] for $t = 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. However by (6),

$$|Rm_{\hat{g}_k}|(x, t) \leq 2\bar{t}_k |Rm_{g_k}|(x, 2\bar{t}_k t) \leq 2\bar{t}_k (\alpha t^{-1} + 2\varepsilon_k^{-2}) = \alpha t^{-1} + 4$$

for any $d_{\hat{g}_k(t)}(x, x_0) \leq \varepsilon_k / \sqrt{2\bar{t}_k}$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1/2$. Hence $|Rm_{\hat{g}_k}|(x, t)$ are not uniformly bounded near $t = 0$. Thus one cannot apply Hamilton's compactness theorem [8] to conclude that the sequence $\hat{g}_k(t)$ converges to some solution of Ricci flow $\hat{g}_\infty(t)$ on $0 \leq t \leq 1/2$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. It is also not known why one can pass to the limit for the inequality on P.26 of [18] as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence the proof of Theorem 10.1 of [18] is not correct and the validity of Theorem 10.1 of [18] is not known. On the other hand in the more detailed explanation of the proof of Theorem 10.1 of [18] on P.179 of [2] it is hard to check that the function $\psi_i^2(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} e^{-\tilde{f}_i(x, 0)}$ defined there belong to $W^{1,2}$ which is the required condition for the validity of the logarithmic Sobolev inequality (Theorem 22.16 of [2]) for manifolds satisfying the isoperimetric inequality.

In this paper we prove that under a mild additional hypothesis Theorem 2 holds without using Theorem 10.1 of [18]. More specifically we will prove that the following result holds.

Theorem 3. *For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $C_0 > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ there exists a constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ with the following property. For any $r_0 > 0$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ suppose $(M, g(t))$ is an n -dimensional complete solution to the Ricci flow on $[0, (\varepsilon r_0)^2]$ with bounded sectional curvature, and assume that there exists $x_0 \in M$ such that (2), (3) and*

$$|Rm|(x, t) \leq \frac{C_0}{t} \quad \forall x \in B_{g(0)}(x_0, r_0), 0 < t \leq (\varepsilon r_0)^2 \quad (7)$$

hold. Then (4) holds.

Similar to [16] Theorem 3 is implied by the following theorem.

Theorem 4. *For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $C_0 > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ there exists a constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ with the following property. For any $r_0 > 0$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ suppose $(M, g(t))$ is an n -dimensional complete solution to the Ricci flow on $[0, (\varepsilon r_0)^2]$ with bounded sectional curvature, and assume that there exists $x_0 \in M$ such that (2), (3) and (7) hold. Then (5) holds.*

Proof of Theorem 4: By rescaling the metric by $1/r_0^2$ we may assume without loss of generality that $r_0 = 1$. Suppose the theorem is not true. Then there exist $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $C_0 > 0$, $\delta > 0$, sequences of positive numbers $0 < \varepsilon_k < 1$, $0 < \delta_k < 1/2$, with $\varepsilon_k, \delta_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and a sequence of n -dimensional complete manifolds $(M_k, g_k(t))$, $0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon_k^2$, with g_k satisfying the Ricci flow on $[0, \varepsilon_k^2]$ with bounded sectional curvature, a sequence $x_{0,k} \in M_k$ and a sequence $(x_k, t_k) \in B_{g_k(0)}(x_{0,k}, \varepsilon_k) \times (0, \varepsilon_k^2]$ such that

$$|Rm_{g_k(0)}|(x, 0) \leq 1 \quad \forall x \in B_{g_k(0)}(x_{0,k}, 1), \quad (8)$$

$$|Rm_{g_k(t)}|(x, t) \leq \frac{C_0}{t} \quad \forall x \in B_{g_k(0)}(x_{0,k}, 1), 0 < t \leq \varepsilon_k^2, \quad (9)$$

$$Vol_{g_k(0)}(B_{g_k(0)}(x_{0,k}, 1)) \geq \delta \quad (10)$$

and

$$|Rm_{g_k}|(x_k, t_k) > \varepsilon_k^{-2} \quad (11)$$

holds for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

By an argument similar to the proof of Claim A of [16] we have the following result.

Claim 1 (cf. Claim A of [16]): For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there exists $(\bar{x}_k, \bar{t}_k) \in B_{g_k(0)}(x_{0,k}, (2A_k + 1)\varepsilon_k) \times (0, \varepsilon_k^2]$ with $Q_k = |Rm|(\bar{x}_k, \bar{t}_k) > \varepsilon_k^{-2}$ such that

$$|Rm|(x, t) \leq 4Q_k \quad \forall (x, t) \in B_{g_k(0)}(\bar{x}_k, A_k Q_k^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \times (0, \bar{t}_k] \quad (12)$$

where $A_k = 1/(100n\varepsilon_k)$. Let $\hat{g}_k(t) = Q_k g_k(t/Q_k)$ and $\hat{t}_k = \bar{t}_k Q_k$. By passing to a subsequence if necessary and (9) we may assume without loss of generality that $d_{g_k(0)}(\bar{x}_k, x_{0,k}) < 1/10$ and $A_k \geq 2$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and

$$T = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \hat{t}_k \in [0, C_0]$$

exists. Then

$$\begin{cases} |Rm_{\hat{g}_k}|(\bar{x}_k, \hat{t}_k) = 1 \\ |Rm_{\hat{g}_k}|(x, t) \leq 4 \quad \forall (x, t) \in B_{\hat{g}_k(0)}(\bar{x}_k, A_k) \times (0, \hat{t}_k] \\ |Rm_{\hat{g}_k}|(x, 0) \leq Q_k^{-1} \quad \forall x \in B_{\hat{g}_k(0)}(\bar{x}_k, A_k). \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

Since $B_{g_k(0)}(x_{0,k}, 1) \subset B_{g_k(0)}(\bar{x}_k, 2)$, by (10),

$$\begin{aligned} Vol_{g_k(0)}(B_{g_k(0)}(\bar{x}_k, 2)) &\geq \delta \\ \Rightarrow Vol_{\hat{g}_k(0)}(B_{\hat{g}_k(0)}(\bar{x}_k, 2Q_k^{\frac{1}{2}})) &\geq \delta Q_k^{\frac{n}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

By (13), (14), and the Bishop volume comparison theorem there exists a positive constant $\delta_0 > 0$ such that

$$Vol_{\hat{g}_k(0)}(B_{\hat{g}_k(0)}(\bar{x}_k, 1)) \geq \delta_0 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \quad (15)$$

We now divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1: $T = 0$

This case can be shown to be impossible by the same argument as the proof of case 3 on P.8–9 of [16] using Theorem 8.3 of [18] and a modification of the argument

of Perelman [18]. For the sake of completeness we will give a simple different proof here. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ let $\delta_{\bar{x}_k}$ be the delta mass at $\bar{x}_k$ and η_k be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \eta_{k,t} + \Delta_{\hat{g}_k(t)}\eta_k + C_1\eta_k = 0 & \text{in } B_{\hat{g}_k(0)}(\bar{x}_k, 1) \times (0, \hat{t}_k] \\ \eta_k(x, \hat{t}_k) = \delta_{\bar{x}_k} & \text{in } B_{\hat{g}_k(0)}(\bar{x}_k, 1) \\ \eta_k(x, t) = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_{\hat{g}_k(0)}(\bar{x}_k, 1) \times (0, \hat{t}_k] \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

where $C_1 = 64 + 4n(n-1)$. Then by the maximum principle $\eta_k \geq 0$ in $B_{\hat{g}_k(0)}(\bar{x}_k, 1) \times (0, \hat{t}_k]$ and $\partial\eta_k/\partial\nu \geq 0$ on $\partial B_{\hat{g}_k(0)}(\bar{x}_k, 1) \times (0, \hat{t}_k]$ where $\partial/\partial\nu$ is the derivative with respect to the unit inward normal ν on $\partial B_{\hat{g}_k(0)}(\bar{x}_k, 1) \times (0, \hat{t}_k]$. We extend η_k by letting $\eta_k = 0$ on $(\bar{B}_{\hat{g}_k(0)}(\bar{x}_k, 1) \times [\hat{t}_k, \infty)) \setminus \{(\bar{x}_k, \hat{t}_k)\}$ and we extend $\hat{g}_k(t)$ by letting $\hat{g}_k(t) = \hat{g}_k(\hat{t}_k)$ for all $t \geq \hat{t}_k$. Then η_k satisfies

$$\eta_{k,t} + \Delta_{\hat{g}_k(t)}\eta_k + C_1\eta_k = 0 \quad \text{in } (\bar{B}_{\hat{g}_k(0)}(\bar{x}_k, 1) \times (0, \infty)) \setminus \{(\bar{x}_k, \hat{t}_k)\}.$$

Hence by (13) and the parabolic Schauder estimates [14] there exists a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$|\partial\eta_k/\partial\nu| \leq C_2 \quad \text{on } \partial B_{\hat{g}_k(0)}(\bar{x}_k, 1) \times [0, \hat{t}_k] \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \quad (17)$$

Since the curvature $Rm_{\hat{g}_k}$ satisfies (cf. [16], [7]),

$$(|Rm_{\hat{g}_k}|^2)_t \leq \Delta_{\hat{g}_k(t)}|Rm_{\hat{g}_k}|^2 - 2|\nabla_{\hat{g}_k} Rm_{\hat{g}_k}|^2 + 16|Rm_{\hat{g}_k}|^3,$$

by (1), (13), (16), and (17) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\int_{B_{\hat{g}_k(0)}(\bar{x}_k, 1)} |Rm_{\hat{g}_k}|^2 \eta_k d\hat{V}_k(t) \right) \\ &= \int_{B_{\hat{g}_k(0)}(\bar{x}_k, 1)} [(|Rm_{\hat{g}_k}|^2)_t \eta_k + |Rm_{\hat{g}_k}|^2 \eta_{k,t} - R_{\hat{g}_k} |Rm_{\hat{g}_k}|^2 \eta_k] d\hat{V}_k(t) \\ &\leq \int_{B_{\hat{g}_k(0)}(\bar{x}_k, 1)} [(\Delta_{\hat{g}_k(t)}|Rm_{\hat{g}_k}|^2 + 64|Rm_{\hat{g}_k}|^2) \eta_k + |Rm_{\hat{g}_k}|^2 \eta_{k,t} - R_{\hat{g}_k} |Rm_{\hat{g}_k}|^2 \eta_k] d\hat{V}_k(t) \\ &\leq \int_{B_{\hat{g}_k(0)}(\bar{x}_k, 1)} |Rm_{\hat{g}_k}|^2 (\eta_{k,t} + \Delta_{\hat{g}_k(t)}\eta_k + C_1\eta_k) d\hat{V}_k(t) + 16 \int_{\partial B_{\hat{g}_k(0)}(\bar{x}_k, 1)} \frac{\partial\eta_k}{\partial\nu} d\sigma_k(t) \\ &\leq 16C_2 |\partial B_{\hat{g}_k(0)}(\bar{x}_k, 1)| \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

for any $0 \leq t \leq \hat{t}_k$ where $R_{\hat{g}_k}$, $d\hat{V}_k(t)$, $d\sigma_k(t)$ are the scalar curvature, volume element, and surface element with respect to the metric $\hat{g}_k(t)$.

By (13), (15), and Cheeger-Gromov's compactness theorem ([5], [20]) the sequence of pointed manifold $(M_k, \hat{g}_k(0), \bar{x}_k)$ has a subsequence which we may assume without loss of generality to be the sequence itself that converges to some pointed manifold $(M_0, \hat{g}_0, \bar{x}_0)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ (cf. [20], [8]). Then there exists a constant $C_3 > 0$ such that

$$|\partial B_{\hat{g}_k(0)}(\bar{x}_k, 1)| \leq \frac{C_3}{16C_2} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \quad (19)$$

Hence by (16), (18) and (19),

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= \lim_{t \nearrow \hat{t}_k} \int_{B_{\hat{g}_k(0)}(\bar{x}_k, 1)} |Rm_{\hat{g}_k}(x, t)|^2 \eta_k(x, t) d\hat{V}_k(t) \\
&\leq \int_{B_{\hat{g}_k(0)}(\bar{x}_k, 1)} |Rm_{\hat{g}_k}(x, 0)|^2 \eta_k(x, 0) d\hat{V}_k(0) + C_3 \hat{t}_k \\
&\leq Q_k^{-2} \int_{B_{\hat{g}_k(0)}(\bar{x}_k, 1)} \eta_k(x, 0) d\hat{V}_k(0) + C_3 \hat{t}_k
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Now by (1), (13), and (16),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\int_{B_{\hat{g}_k(0)}(\bar{x}_k, 1)} \eta_k d\hat{V}_k(t) \right) \\
&= \int_{B_{\hat{g}_k(0)}(\bar{x}_k, 1)} (\eta_{k,t} - R_{\hat{g}_k} \eta_k) d\hat{V}_k(t) \\
&= \int_{B_{\hat{g}_k(0)}(\bar{x}_k, 1)} (-\Delta_{\hat{g}_k(t)} \eta_k - (C_1 + R_{\hat{g}_k}) \eta_k) d\hat{V}_k(t) \\
&\geq -C_4 \int_{B_{\hat{g}_k(0)}(\bar{x}_k, 1)} \eta_k d\hat{V}_k(t) + \int_{\partial B_{\hat{g}_k(0)}(\bar{x}_k, 1)} \frac{\partial \eta_k}{\partial \nu} d\sigma_k(t) \\
&\geq -C_4 \int_{B_{\hat{g}_k(0)}(\bar{x}_k, 1)} \eta_k d\hat{V}_k(t)
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

for any $0 \leq t \leq \hat{t}_k$ where $C_4 = 64 + 8n(n-1)$. Integrating (21) over $(0, \hat{t}_k)$,

$$\int_{B_{\hat{g}_k(0)}(\bar{x}_k, 1)} \eta_k(x, 0) d\hat{V}_k(0) \leq e^{C_4 \hat{t}_k}. \tag{22}$$

By (20) and (22),

$$1 \leq Q_k^{-2} e^{C_4 \hat{t}_k} + C_3 \hat{t}_k \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \tag{23}$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (23) we get $1 \leq 0$ and contradiction arises. Hence $T > 0$.

Case 2: $0 < T \leq C_0$

By passing to a subsequence if necessary we may assume without loss of generality that $T/2 < \hat{t}_k < 3T/2$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. By (13) and (15) the injectivity radius of $(M_k, \hat{g}_k(0))$ are uniformly bounded below by some positive constant for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Hence by (13) and the Hamilton's compactness theorem [8] there exists a subsequence of $(M_k, \hat{g}_k(t), (\bar{x}_k, 0))$ which we may assume without loss of generality to be the sequence itself that converges to some pointed complete manifold $(M_\infty, g_\infty(t), (x_\infty, 0))$, $0 \leq t \leq T$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$. g_∞ satisfies the Ricci flow equation (1),

$$|Rm_\infty|(x, t) \leq 4 \quad \forall x \in M_\infty, 0 \leq t \leq T$$

and

$$Rm_\infty(x, 0) \equiv 0 \quad \text{on } M_\infty \quad (24)$$

where $Rm_\infty(x, t)$ is the Riemannian curvature of M_∞ with respect to the metric $g_\infty(t)$. Then by (1) and (24),

$$Rm_\infty(x, t) \equiv 0 \quad \text{on } M_\infty \times [0, T].$$

Hence $g_\infty(t) \equiv g_\infty(0)$ for any $0 \leq t < T$ and g_∞ is a time independent flat metric on M_∞ . On the other hand since $|Rm_{\hat{g}_k(t)}(\bar{x}_k, \hat{t}_k)| = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we have $|Rm_\infty(x_\infty, T)| = 1$. Contradiction arises. Hence no such sequence of manifolds $(M_k, g_k, x_{0,k})$ exists and the theorem follows. $\square$

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the referee for various comments and suggestions on the paper.

References

- [1] A. Chau, L.F. Tam and C. Yu, *Pseudolocality for the Ricci flow and applications*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0701153>.
- [2] B. Chow, S.C. Chu, D. Glickenstein, C. Guenther, J. Isenberg, T. Ivey, D. Knopf, P. Lu, F. Luo and L. Ni, *The Ricci flow: Techniques and Applications Part III: Geometric-Analytic Aspects*, Mathematical surveys and monographs vol. 163, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., U.S.A. (2010).
- [3] B. Chow, P. Lu and L. Ni, *Hamilton's Ricci flow*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics vol. 77, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., U.S.A. (2006).
- [4] P. Daskalopoulos, R.S. Hamilton and N. Sesum, *Classification of compact ancient solutions to the Ricci flow on surfaces*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/0902.1158>.
- [5] M. Gromov, *Metric structures for Riemannian and Non-Riemannian spaces*, English Translation by S.M. Bates, Birkhäuser, Boston, U.S.A., 2007.
- [6] R.S. Hamilton, *Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*, J. Differential Geom. **17**, no. 2, (1982), 255–306.
- [7] R.S. Hamilton, *The formation of singularities in the Ricci flow*, Surveys in differential geometry, Vol. II (Cambridge, MA, 1993), 7–136, International Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995.

- [8] R.S. Hamilton, *A compactness property for the solutions of the Ricci flow*, American J. Math. 117 (1995), 545–572.
- [9] S.Y. Hsu, *Generalized $\mathcal{L}$ -geodesic and monotonicity of the generalized reduced volume in the Ricci flow*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 49 (2009), no. 3, 503 - 571.
- [10] S.Y. Hsu, *Maximum principle and convergence of fundamental solutions for the Ricci flow*, Tokyo Journal of Mathematics 32 (2009), 501–516.
- [11] S.Y. Hsu, *Uniqueness of solutions of Ricci flow on complete noncompact manifolds*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0704.3468>.
- [12] B. Kleiner and J. Lott, *Notes on Perelman's papers*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0605667v3>.
- [13] S. Kuang and Q.S. Zhang, *A gradient estimate for all positive solutions of the conjugate heat equation under Ricci flow*, J. Funct. Anal. 255 (2008), no. 4, 1008–1023.
- [14] O.A. Ladyzenskaya, V.A. Solonnikov, and N.N. Uraltceva, *Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type*, Transl. Math. Mono. Vol 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., U.S.A., 1968.
- [15] J. Morgan and G. Tang, *Ricci flow and the Poincaré conjecture*, Clay Mathematics Monographs Volume 3, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 2007.
- [16] P. Lu, *Local curvature bound in Ricci flow*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/0906.3784>.
- [17] L. Ni, *A note on Perelman's LYH-type inequality*, Comm. Anal. Geom. 14 (2006), no. 5, 883–905.
- [18] G. Perelman, *The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0211159>.
- [19] G. Perelman, *Ricci flow with surgery on three-manifolds*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0303109>.
- [20] S. Peters, *Convergence of riemannian manifolds*, Compositio Math. 62 (1987), no. 1, 3–16.
- [21] Q.S. Zhang, *Some gradient estimates for the heat equation on domains and for an equation by Perelman*, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2006, Art. ID 92314, 39 pp.